UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,530	03/01/2004	Marko Areh	2001P15158WOUS	3374
46726 RSH HOME A	7590 11/06/2007 PPLIANCES CORPOR	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			SIMONE, TIMOTHY F	
	DSCH BOULEVARD BERN, NC 28562		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	•		3742	
			MAIL DATÉ	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,530	AREH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy F. Simone	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions o after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT fill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>24 August 2007</u> .					
<i>,</i> —	· -					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 6-21 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 6-21 is/are rejecte 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:						
Applicant may not request that any objec	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P² 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,530

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Penaranda, et al. (US 6,186,656) in view of Priestman (US 2,822,198). The patents to Penaranda, et al. discloses the claimed invention including a circlip (16) engaging a housing portion of the appliance and removably connected to the drive shaft (4). The patent to Priestman teaches a circlip (10) having two legs (16,18) removably connected to a shaft (26) and engaging at least a portion (24) to restrict rotational movement of the circlip. Thus, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have provided the kitchen appliance of Penaranda, et al. with a removable circlip having two legs in the manner suggested by Priestman in order to have the circlip removably connected to the drive shaft and restrict rotational movement of the circlip, if so desired.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy F. Simone whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/791,530

Art Unit: 3742

272-1407. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays between 8:00am-5:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amothy F. Simone Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742